

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Woshington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,100	07/25/2001	Michael J. McMahon	769-236 Div.2	7902	
29540 7	590 03/13/2003				
PITNEY, HARDIN, KIPP & SZUCH LLP			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
685 THIRD AV NEW YORK, I			SIPOS, JOHN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
		DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

`•	_		m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/915,100	MCMAHON ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Sipos	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final of	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: See attached sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			
	,		

Application/Control Number: 09/915,100

Art Unit: 3721

The amendment has not been entered since the scope of the claim is unclear and inaccurate. The amendment can be read either of two ways: (a) the amendment reciting the state of the bag during insertion of the slider or (b) the amendment reciting the positive step of interlocking the closure elements during insertion of the slider. Since the "interlocking" of the closure elements of the zipper is not positively recited in claim 6 it is not clear whether it is part of the manipulative steps of the process. Neither of these cases is clearly supported by the disclosure. In case (a), as was stated in the previous action, the whole zipper is not interlocked where the insertion takes place. As stated on page 6, lines 5-15, the slider is preferably inserted at closing end of the zipper, i.e. the zipper being in an interlocked state prior to insertion, but since the insertion of the slider cannot take place without opening the closure elements at least at the point of insertion, it is inaccurate to state that the closure elements are interlocked. In the second case (b), page 6 of the disclosure does not state that a positive step of interlocking the zipper is performed during insertion of the slider. The above quoted lines of the disclosure do state that if the insertion takes place at the open end of the zipper, i.e. the zipper being in an open state, the slider will need to be moved to close the bag.

It should also be noted that there is no proper antecedence for the phrase "the closure elements" of the last paragraph of claim 6.

John Sipos

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3721